

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,742	01/27/2004	Mark Fimoff	7245	2834
7590 06/25/2007 Zenith Electronics Corporation 2000 Millbrook Drive Lincolnshire, IL 60069			EXAMINER	
			WONG, LINDA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			•	
·			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		06/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/766,742	FIMOFF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Linda Wong	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	lanuary 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,14-16,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 1,2,14-16,27 and 28 is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) <u>3-13,17-26 and 29</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not re	eceived				
•						
Attachment(s)	[]					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<i>,</i>				

Application/Control Number: 10/766,742 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1,2,13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu et al (US Patent No.: 6934345) in view of Yousef (US Publication No.: 20030152170).
  - a. Claim 1,
    - i. Chu et al discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/766,742 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

"supplying output data sections of received symbols at an output rate of nS such that void times separate the output data sections, wherein n > 1" (Fig. 7, label 115, wherein k/T is the sampling rate and k > 1, Col. 6, lines 53-56. Regarding the limitation "void times", void times would be found between the outputted data sections.)

- equalizing the symbols (Fig. 7, label 105)
- "decoding the equalized symbols by a decoder to provide decoded symbols" (Fig. 7, label 140)
- "calculating adjustments for the decision feedback equalizer during the void times such that the adjustments are calculated based on both the received symbols supplied by the decision feedback equalizer buffer and the decoded symbols" (Fig. 7, label 115, wherein the input to the equalizer would be at a rate of k/T. Regarding the limitation "void times", since the input to the equalizer is scheduled by the switch 115, the error is calculated for each of the samples outputted from the equalizer. The error is calculated when the outputted equalized sample is passed to the decoder. Since the sampled outputted by the equalizer depends on label 115 and 125, the error would be calculated at the void times caused by labels 115 and 125.)
- "applying the adjustments to the decision feedback equalizer" (Fig. 7, label 170)
- ii. Chu et al fails to disclose

Art Unit: 2611

 "continuously storing input data segments of received symbols in a decision feedback equalizer buffer at a symbol rate S",

Page 4

- "equalizing the received symbols supplied by the decision feedback equalizer buffer in a decision feedback equalizer to provide equalized symbols"
- iii. Yousef discloses such a limitation. (Fig. 18, label 1820, Fig. 16, label 1610,1620 and Fig. 9, label 920) It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a buffer for storing the data inputs as disclosed by Yousef into Chu et al's invention so to allow access to data at any time.
- b. Claim 2, Chu et al disclsoes "n=3". (Col. 6, lines 53-56)
- c. Claim 13,
  - i. Chu et al discloses "the decision feedback equalizer comprises taps having tap weights" and "applying the calculated tap weights to the decision feedback equalizer". (Col. 5, lines 39-67, Col. 6, lines 1-4)
  - ii. Chu et al fails to disclose
    - "wherein the calculating of adjustments for the decision feedback equalizer comprises (i) estimating a channel impulse response based on the received symbols supplied by the decision feedback equalizer buffer and based on the decoded symbols, and (ii) calculating the tap weights for the decision feedback equalizer based on the estimated channel, and wherein the applying of the adjustments to the decision

Art Unit: 2611

feedback equalizer comprises applying the calculated tap weights to the decision feedback equalizer".

Page 5

iii. Yousef discloses such a limitation. (Fig. 8, label 825 shows a channel estimator, Fig. 16, labels 1610-1630 shows the data stored is being used to determine channel estimation, Fig. 17, labels 1720,1740,1760 shows the channel estimation being used for updating taps.)

## d. Claim 14,

### i. Chu et al discloses

- "continuously storing input data segments of received symbols in a decision feedback equalizer buffer at a symbol rate S"
- "supplying output data sections of received symbols from the decision feedback equalizer buffer at an output rate of nS such that void times separate the output data sections, wherein n > 1" (Fig. 7, label 115, wherein k/T is the sampling rate and k > 1, Col. 6, lines 53-56.
   Regarding the limitation "void times", void times would be found between the outputted data sections.)
- equalizing the symbols (Fig. 7, label 105)
- "decoding the equalized symbols by a decoder to provide decoded symbols" (Fig. 7, label 140)

#### ii. Chu et al fails to disclose

"equalizing the received symbols supplied by the decision feedback
 equalizer buffer in a decision feedback equalizer to provide equalized

Art Unit: 2611

symbols, wherein the decision feedback equalizer comprises taps having tap weights"

Page 6

- "estimating a channel impulse response based on both the received symbols supplied by the decision feedback equalizer buffer and the decoded symbols"
- "calculating the tap weights for the decision feedback equalizer based
  on the estimated channel, wherein the estimating of the channel
  impulse response and the calculating of the tap weights are performed
  during the void times; and"
- "applying the calculated tap weights to the decision feedback equalizer".
- iii. Yousef discloses such limitations. (Fig. 9, label 920 shows an equalizer receiving data from the buffer, label 915, Fig. 8, label 825 shows a channel estimator, Fig. 16, labels 1610-1630 shows the data stored is being used to determine channel estimation, Fig. 17, labels 1720,1740,1760 shows the channel estimation being used for updating taps, Fig. 9, label 925,930 and 920 show applying the updated taps to the equalizer.) It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a buffer for storing the data inputs as disclosed by Yousef into Chu et al's invention so to allow access to data at any time.
- e. **Claim 15**, Chu et al discloses "n>=2". (Col. 6, lines 53-56)
- f. Claim 16, Chu et al discloses "n=3". (Col. 6, lines 53-56)

Art Unit: 2611

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 3. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chu et al (US Patent No.: 6934345).
  - a. Claim 27,
    - i. Chu et al discloses
      - "supplying segments of received symbols to the equalizer to produce equalized segments, wherein each of the segments of received symbols occupies a corresponding segment time period" (Fig. 7, label 115 for supplying segments of received symbols to the equalizer, label 105 to produce equalized segments, wherein each segment would occupy a segment time period.)
      - "decoding the equalized segments by a decoder to produce decoded segments"; (Fig. 7, label 140)
      - "calculating adjustments for the equalizer based on n decoded segments and n segments of received symbols, wherein n >=1, and wherein the calculating of adjustments" (Fig. 7, label 170 error calculated for adjusting the equalizer, label 115,125 determines the segment to be decoded, label k/T for the number of segments sampled

Page 7

Art Unit: 2611

and inputted into the equalizer, k>1, Col. 6, lines 55-65, wherein there will be at least 1 equalized segment of symbols used for decoding and at least 1 segment of symbols equalized.)

b. Claim 28, Chu et al discloses "n=3". (Col. 6, lines 55-65)

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-12,17-26,29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linda Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-6044. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on (571) 272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Linda Wong 6/18/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER